Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/763,392	REARDON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	CUONG H. NGUYEN	3661
All Participants:	Status of Application:	·
(1) <u>CUONG H. NGUYEN</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Howard Sommers (Reg. # 24138).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>16 October 2005</u>	Time: <u>11:20 am</u>	•
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: n/a		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Constitution Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT W	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		•
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation not result in resolution of all issues. A brief su 	on. The examiner will provide a wrong arate record of the substance of the	itten summary of the substance he interview, since the interview
	·	
Cumalmanyen		
(Examiner/SPE signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner would like to remind applicants that USPTO receives no response for a Final Office Action mailed on 4/06/05; therefore, this case is already automatically in ABANDON status. Please call the examiner of the record (571-272-6759) if there is any further question.